| Sampling Plan - Attribute Discovery Sample | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sampling Application | | | | | | |
| AUDIT TYPE: | | | | | | |
| REVIEW AREA: | | | | | | |
| SAMPLING OBJECTIVE: | | | | | | |
| | Sampling Approach | | | | | |
| Type of Sampling: | Attribute Discovery Sampling (A special case of attribute acceptance sampling where the occurrence of even a single error constitutes a failure of the universe. Attribute sampling is a form of compliance testing that is qualitative is nature, can be used to determine the rate of occurrence, and may result in system changes.) | | | | | |
| Why Used ? | The risk of erroneous rejection of a universe is irrelevant, the purpose is not to determine dollar compliance rates or project revenue, and (check those that apply): | | | | | |
| | The area is sensitive and any systemic error would constitute noncompliance (e.g. ADD/CVD, transshipment). [Use Set 1 Parameters below.] | | | | | |
| | No error is expected in the universe. [May use Set 2 Parameters below if only this reason applies.] | | | | | |
| | Other (explain): | | | | | |
| Sampling Parameters for Sample S | ize and Error Estimation if Applicable (Select the Set that Applies): | | | | | |
| Set 1: | Confidence Level = 99% Critical Error Rate = 5% Government Risk = 1% | | | | | |
| Set 2: | Confidence Level = 99% Critical Error Rate = 5% Government Risk = 1% | | | | | |
| Sampling Parameters for Dollar Estimation if Applicable: | | | | | | |
| Confidence Level: | 95% | | | | | |
| Desired Precision (< 100%): | | | | | | |
| Universe and Frame Information | | | | | | |
| Universe Description: | | | | | | |
| Frame Description: | | | | | | |
| Frame Size: | | | | | | |
| Frame Value: | | | | | | |
| Frame Duty: | | | | | | |
| Frame Validated? | Yes No (explain): | | | | | |

1

| Sample Information | | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Sampling Unit Description: | | | | | | |
| Sample Size: | | | | | | |
| Sample Value: | | | | | | |
| Sample Duty: | | | | | | |
| Sample Size Method/Basis: | EZ-Quant ATTDISC - Discov | very Acceptance Sa | ample Size Proced | dure | | |
| Sample Selection Method: | EZ-Quant RANUM - Random Numbers Generator | | | | Random Seed: | |
| | EZ-Quant RASEQ - Rar | ndom Number Sets | Generator | | Random Seed: | |
| | Other: | | | | | |
| | Sam | ple Results | - Errors | | | |
| | Total Number | Total Value | Systemic Number | Systemic Value | Recurring Number | Recurring Value |
| Errors: | | | | | | |
| | Sample | Results - C | ompliance | | | |
| | | Compliant? | | | | |
| Transshipment or Undeclared ADD/CVD (Any Systemic Error = Noncompliant): | Yes | | | | | |
| | No | | | | | |
| | Yes. (Rate & Calculation): | | | | | |
| Other Area: | No. (Rate & Calculation): | | | | | |
| | N/A (Explain): | | | | | |

| | Sample Results | s - Revenue | Due (If Applicable) | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Actual Total Revenue Due if Known (R | Refer to EET if > Referral Thr | eshold): | | | |
| Revenue Impac | ct Based on Sample Result | s (Duty or Other F | Projectable Revenue based on Sar | nple Results) | |
| Initial Projected Revenue Impact of Re | | Selected Sample I Computer Program | | al Unit Sample Evaluation Procedure | |
| | Precision Dollars | Initial Point Estimate | Precision Percentage (Precision Dollars/Point Estimate) | Lowest Precision % < Desired Precision %? (Y/N) | |
| Ratio Method: | | | · | | |
| Difference Method: | | | | | |
| | Reanalyzed the projecta | ability of the errors a | and accepted the initial point estimat | e. | |
| | Reanalyzed the projectability of the errors and computed revenue due on the sample errors only. Revenue due: | | | | |
| If Desired Precision Not Met, Course | Reanalyzed the projectability of the errors, adjusted the errors, and reprojected. (Record results below.) | | | | |
| of Action Taken? | Post-audit stratified and reprojected. (Record results below.) | | | | |
| | Expanded the sample and reprojected. (Record results below.) | | | | |
| | Estimated the revenue of means. Revenue due: | lue by other | | | |
| Adjusted Projected Revenue Impact of | | mly Selected Samp Program as Applic | | ojection Program (or Other Compute | |
| | Precision Dollars | Adjusted Point Estimate | Precision Percentage (Precision Dollars/Point Estimate) | Lowest Precision % < Desired Precision %? (Y/N) | |
| Ratio Method: | | | | | |
| Difference Method: | | | | | |
| | Reanalyzed the projectability of the errors and accepted the adjusted point estimate. | | | | |
| | Reanalyzed the projectability of the errors and accepted the initial point estimate. | | | | |
| If Desired Precision Not Met, Course of Action Taken? (Check Action Taken.) | Reanalyzed the projectability of the errors and computed revenue due on the sample errors only. Revenue due: | | | | |
| | Estimated the revenue due by other means. Revenue due: | | | | |
| | Summary of Re | evenue Due Based | d on Sample Results | | |
| Total Revenue Due for All Errors on Ju | idgmentally Selected and 10 | 0% Review Sample | e Items : | | |
| Total Revenue Due for All Recurring E | rrors on Randomly Selected | Sample Items (Fro | om Projection or Other): | | |
| Total Revenue Due for All Nonrecurring | g Errors on Randomly Selec | ted Sample Items: | | | |
| Total Revenue Due for This Sample (F | Refer to EET if > Referral Th | reshold): | | \$0.00 | |

3

| Sample Results - Value Impact | | | | | | |
|--|--|----|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Actual Total Value Impact If Known (Refer to EET if > Referral Threshold): | | | | | | |
| Value Impact Based on Sample Results | | | | | | |
| Absolute Value of All Recurring Errors of Sample Items: | n Randomly Selected | A1 | | | | |
| Absolute Value of All Nonrecurring Errors on Randomly Selected Sample Items and All Recurring Errors on Judgmentally Selected or 100% Review Sample Items: | | | | | | |
| Total Sample Dollars: | | В | | | | |
| Total Frame Dollars: | | С | | | | |
| Total Trade Area Dollars: | | D | | | | |
| | | | Value Impact for Sample | Total Value Impact for Trade Area | Total Value Impact for Trade Area > EET Referral Threshold? (Y/N. If Y, then Refer) | |
| If C = D (i.e., the frame represents the entire trade area) then (A1 / B * C) + A2 = Total Value Impact. | | | N/A | | | |
| If C < D (i.e., the frame does not represe A2 = Value Impact for this sample only. to the Value Impact for all other samples Area. | | | | | | |
| Sample Results - Error Rate (If Applicable) | | | | | | |
| Average Error Rate for the Frame (Number of Errors / Sample Size OR Point Estimate or Sample Occurrence Rate from EZ-Quant ATTEVAL1 Attribute Discovery Acceptance Sample Evaluation Procedure): | | | | | | |
| Maximum Error Rate for the Frame (Upper Limit or Upper Precision Limit from EZ-Quant ATTEVAL1 Attribute Discovery Acceptance Sample Evaluation Procedure): | | | | | | |
| Sample Results - Other Years/Areas | | | | | | |
| Are Other Years or Areas Outside the Sampling Frame Affected? Do the Sample Results Apply to Other | Yes (Determine how to calculate the revenue due and value impact for the other years/areas.) | | | | | |
| Years or Areas Outside the Sampling Frame? | No | | | | | |